

CASE STUDY

Driving Motor Claims Performance Through Subrogated Recovery & Closure Insight

Client Challenge:

A motor insurer sought to assess the quality of settled claims handled by an outsourced claims partner. Specifically, the audit aimed to evaluate the accuracy of file closure decisions, identify any missed recovery opportunities and quantify the cost of those missed opportunities. With a focus on settled own damage (AD) claims involving an at fault third party, the objective was to ensure closure protocols were being followed and opportunities for recovery had not been overlooked.

Our Approach:

SX3 conducted an in-depth file audit using a structured methodology tailored to the client's requirements:

Targeted File Selection

We reviewed a sample of AD claims closed in the last 6-9 months, where no recovery had been made despite third-party fault. The cohort was designed to identify the most likely cases for missed recoveries.

Remote Audit Execution

 Our auditors accessed the claims management system directly, conducting a comprehensive review of claim activity, recovery pursuit, and file closure rationale e.g. whether the decision to abandon a recovery was accurate.

Performance Benchmarking

We developed a streamlined version of SX3's audit framework for this engagement, enabling a focused deep dive into recovery and closure. This tailored approach delivered more actionable insight and greater efficiency, while still allowing for market benchmarking.

Daily Collaboration

Findings were shared with the claims partner during the audit process through regular debriefs, ensuring clarity, accountability, and opportunity for immediate feedback.

Findings:

The audit uncovered both strengths and improvement areas across recovery and closure handling:

File Closure Accuracy:

88% of files were found to be closed in line with closure rules. However, of the files closed outside the rules often a recovery attempt was not pursued or was abandoned without documented rationale or clear justification.

Recovery Accuracy:

84.6% of files demonstrated appropriate recovery activity. In the remainder, missed opportunities were often linked to inaction, poor follow-through, or insufficient review of historical file notes.

Claim Leakage:

- ▶ 18 cases (from a sample of 130) showed a leakage rate of 9.92% (circa. £32k), with both soft and hard elements identified. Key contributors included:
 - Delayed action resulting in loss of evidence (e.g. CCTV wiped/lost)
 - Supplier decisions not being challenged
 - Gaps in documentation when abandoning recovery efforts



CASE STUDY

Driving Motor Claims Performance Through Subrogated Recovery & Closure Insight

Solution Delivered

Report and recommendations were delivered within 2 weeks of completing the fieldwork and included:

- Case-by-case analysis of identified leakage
- Quantification of missed recoveries
- ▶ Root cause assessment and recommendations which included:
 - Missed or unrecorded system actions and diary notes
 - Lack of review of historical file notes
 - Unclear abandonment and closure sign-off processes
 - Closures without appropriate explanation or rationale
 - Dormant files closed in bulk
 - Resourcing constraints impacting claims progression
 - Handler inexperience in recognising or pursuing recovery
- Benchmarking insights against market standards

We also ensured the findings were agreed and accepted by the outsourced claims partner, securing their buyin to the recommendations. They committed to proactive internal review and process improvement in light of the findings.

Results:

The new approach delivered measurable improvements:

- ► **Tangible Insight:** The audit highlighted improvement areas in recovery pursuit and closure discipline that, when addressed, could reduce leakage and support better cost outcomes.
- Process Alignment: The claims partner acknowledged the findings and committed to implementing new documentation standards and internal protocols.
- Collaborative Improvement: The outcome supports further collaboration between insurer and handler to continuously improve recovery outcomes and operational governance.
- Recoveries: Claims re-opened and recommended recovery practises implemented leading to six-figure (and counting) recoveries.

Conclusion

This audit illustrates the value of independent insight in driving claims performance. By targeting a specific cohort where missed recoveries were most likely, SX3 helped the insurer and their partner identify tangible opportunities to reduce leakage, strengthen closure discipline, and improve recovery practices. The outcome underlines the importance of robust file governance and demonstrates how collaborative auditing can support better commercial and customer outcomes alike.

Contact SX3 today to explore how we can help your business

Adrian Gilbert Managing Director

E adrian.gilbert@sx3.co.uk

T 01702 567 503

M 07801 684 335

Richard Pilkington Head of Audit Services

richard.pilkingon@sx3.co.uk

M 07873 705 765